

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

D.S., a minor by and through his
guardian ad litem Elsa Acosta,
individually and as successor-in-interest
to William Salgado; C.S., a minor by
and through his guardian ad litem Elsa
Acosta, individually and as successor-
in-interest to William Salgado; J.S., a
minor by and through her guardian ad
litem Elsa Acosta, individually and as
successor-in-interest to William
Salgado; M.S., a minor by and through
her guardian ad litem Elsa Acosta,
individually and as successor-in-interest
to William Salgado,

Plaintiffs,

v.

CITY OF HUNTINGTON PARK;
NICK NICHOLS; RENE REZA;
MATTHEW RINCON; APRIL
WHEELER; and DOES 5 through 10,
inclusive,

**Case No. 2:23-cv-09412-CBM-AGR
(Consolidated with Case No. 2:24-cv-
04898-CBM-AGR)**

*District Judge Consuelo B. Marshall
Magistrate Judge Alicia G. Rosenberg*

**[PROPOSED] ORDER RE:
STIPULATION AND JOINT
REQUEST FOR ORDER
MODIFYING SCHEDULING
ORDER TO CONTINUE DEADLINE
TO CONDUCT SETTLEMENT
CONFERENCE ONLY**

Defendants.

WILLIAM OMAR CASTILLO
MIRANDA, an individual and as
Successor in Interest for Decedent,
WILLIAM RENE SALGADO
MIRANDA; JUANA MARIA
MIRANDA, an individual and as
Successor in Interest for Decedent,
WILLIAM RENE SALGADO
MIRANDA; OSMAR ANTONIO
CASTILLO BLANDON, a minor by
and through Guardian ad litem,
EUGENIA GUADELUPE ESPINOZA
SALMERON; EUGENIA
GUADELUPE ESPINOZA
SALMERON, an individual; KARLA
VANESSA BLANDON, an individual,

Plaintiffs,

v.

CITY OF HUNTINGTON PARK;
RENE REZA, an individual; APRIL
WHEELER, an individual; MATTHEW
RINCON, an individual; NICK
NICHOLS, an individual; JOSE A.
YAMASAKI, an individual; SAUL
RODRIGUEZ, an individual; and
DOES 1 TO 10, inclusive,

Defendants.

[PROPOSED] ORDER

PURSUANT TO THE STIPULATION OF THE PARTIES, and pursuant to the Court's inherent and statutory authority, including but not limited to the Court's authority under the applicable Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the United States District Court, Central District of California Local Rules; after due consideration of all of the relevant pleadings, papers, and records in this action; and upon such other evidence or argument as was presented to the Court; Good Cause appearing therefor, and in furtherance of the interests of justice,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. The Court hereby orders that the operative scheduling order deadline to conduct a settlement conference in this case [Dkt. 41] is continued as follows:

EVENT	CURRENT DEADLINE	NEW DEADLINE
Deadline to Complete Settlement Conference	03/01/2025	04/11/2025

2. Except as specified herein above, all other dates and deadlines shall remain unchanged and unaffected by the parties' stipulation and by this Order.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: _____, 2025

HON. CONSUELO B. MARSHALL
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE